Exhibit 4

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                    EASTERN DIVISION
 3
       IN RE: NATIONAL
 4
      PRESCRIPTION
                                MDL No. 2804
                             )
       OPIATE LITIGATION
 5
                              )
                                 Case No.
                                 1:17-MD-2804
 6
       THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ) Hon. Dan A.
 7
                             ) Polster
       TO ALL CASES
 8
                TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2019
 9
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
10
                 CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
                Videotaped deposition of Ginger
13
      Collier, held at the offices of STINSON
     LEONARD STREET LLP, 7700 Forsyth Boulevard,
14
15
      Suite 1000, St. Louis, Missouri, commencing
      at 9:10 a.m., on the above date, before
16
      Carrie A. Campbell, Registered Diplomate
17
18
     Reporterand Certified Realtime Reporter.
19
20
21
22
23
               GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
24
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                     deps@golkow.com
25
```

1	QUESTIONS BY MR. GOTTO:	15:25:13
2	Q. Okay. And that would were	15:25:13
3	those rebates being suspended with respect to	15:25:15
4	oxy 15 and 30 sales?	15:25:22
5	A. I wanted them suspended for all	15:25:23
6	products.	15:25:25
7	Q. Okay. Did that happen?	15:25:25
8	A. I believe we did.	15:25:27
9	Q. Okay. And what was the reason	15:25:28
10	for that?	15:25:32
11	A. I just didn't think that	15:25:33
12	Mallinckrodt had value in offering an	15:25:34
13	additional discount to some of these	15:25:36
14	customers. They would just get additional	15:25:39
15	rebate for doing nothing, basically. So we	15:25:42
16	just didn't see that there was value in doing	15:25:47
17	that.	15:25:49
18	Q. Okay. And then in the top	15:25:49
19	e-mail on the first page from Lisa Cardetti,	15:25:56
20	she says, "Originally we were going to	15:25:56
21	exclude all oxycodone. Just wanted to verify	15:26:01
22	that we will only be excluding 15 and 30."	15:26:02
23	Now, do you understand her	15:26:05
24	there to be talking about excluding from the	15:26:08
25	VIP program?	15:26:13
1		

1	A. Yes		15:26:14
2	Q. Oka	y. And which was it? Was	15:26:14
3	it excluding all	oxycodone or just 15 and 30;	15:26:17
4	do you know?		15:26:20
5	MR.	O'CONNOR: Object to form.	15:26:20
6	THE	WITNESS: I believe it	15:26:21
7	became onl	y the 15 and 30. We	15:26:23
8	remained w	ith the 5 milligram.	15:26:25
9	QUESTIONS BY MR.	GOTTO:	15:26:29
10	Q. Oka	y. You can set that aside.	15:26:29
11	(Ma	llinckrodt-Collier Exhibit	15:26:30
12	29 for ide	ntification.)	15:26:59
13	QUESTIONS BY MR.	GOTTO:	15:26:59
14	Q. Exh	ibit 29 is a two-page	15:26:59
15	document beginni	ng at Bates	15:27:01
16	MNK-T1_000155329	7. It appears to be a mailer	15:27:08
17	concerning a CME	presentation.	15:27:14
18	Do	you recognize this document?	15:27:22
19	A. No,	I do not.	15:27:24
20	Q. Do	you recall having any	15:27:25
21	familiarity with	any CME presentations	15:27:37
22	concerning opioi	d rotation?	15:27:42
23	A. Not	the specific CE. We did	15:27:45
24	discuss doing co	ntinuing education.	15:27:51
25	Q. On	this topic?	15:27:52
1			

53545557000204
55 55 57 00 02
55 57 00 02
57 00 02
00
02
04
J 1
06
11
12
13
13
17
20
21
25
27
29
29
33
35
37
37
41

	1 (Mallinckrodt-Collier Exhibits	15:28:55
	2 30 and 31 marked for identification.)	15:28:56
	3 QUESTIONS BY MR. GOTTO:	15:28:56
	Q. We've marked as Exhibit 30 a	15:29:23
	single-page document, MNK-T1_0004673096, and	15:29:54
	6 as Exhibit 31, a single-page document bearing	15:30:01
	7 the next succeeding Bates number.	15:30:06
	8 Could you take a look at those	15:30:08
	9 materials and tell me if you recognize them?	15:30:09
1	O A. I recognize this.	15:30:11
1	1 Q. The attachment?	15:30:20
1	2 A. I do.	15:30:21
1	Q. Okay. So in Exhibit 30,	15:30:21
1	4 Mr. Vorderstrasse sends you an e-mail saying	15:30:26
1	5 that "working on ideas for McKesson, who was	15:30:28
1	6 looking for information to show that we were	15:30:33
1	7 accepted in the market and to give them ideas	15:30:37
1	8 of selling techniques that have proven	15:30:38
1	9 successful."	15:30:42
2	0 And then Exhibit 31 appears to	15:30:42
2	1 be the attachment to the e-mail; is that	15:30:44
2	2 right?	15:30:49
2	3 A. Uh-huh.	15:30:49
2	Q. And so Exhibit 31 has some	15:30:50
2	5 information about Mallinckrodt historical	15:30:53

4		15.00:55
1	market share and then "available sales	15:30:55
2	materials, parens, we will likely need PARC,	15:30:59
3	P-A-R-C, approval to send examples."	15:31:02
4	What is PARC in this setting?	15:31:06
5	A. Promotional material review	15:31:07
6	meeting. Promotional advertising review	15:31:10
7	committee.	15:31:13
8	Q. Okay. And that was a	15:31:13
9	Mallinckrodt committee?	15:31:15
10	A. Yes.	15:31:15
11	Q. Were you on the committee?	15:31:15
12	A. No, I submitted materials to	15:31:16
13	them	15:31:18
14	Q. Okay.	15:31:19
15	A for approval.	15:31:19
16	Q. Who was on the committee; do	15:31:19
17	you know?	15:31:21
18	A. I didn't think I'd ever forget	15:31:21
19	his name, but, no, I don't remember the name.	15:31:26
20	Q. Do you remember what his	15:31:29
21	A. The key gentleman.	15:31:30
22	Q. Do you remember what	15:31:34
23	his position was?	15:31:35
24	A. He was the lead at PARC, and so	15:31:35
25	he reviewed all communications.	15:31:37